

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/520,419	MEEK ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
R. DAVID RINES	3626	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) R. DAVID RINES. (3) _____.

(2) Anthony P. Filomena (Reg. # 44,108). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1 and 46

Prior art documents discussed:

Mebane et al. and Wong et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. DAVID RINES/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Representative agreed to amend claims 1 and 46 by Examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance. Examiner and Representative additionally reached agreement regarding to introduce claim language to overcome rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101..